

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/907,001	YAGER ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Jeffrey T. Barton	1753	

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** Pending

(1) Jeffrey T. Barton.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Christopher Wight.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 5 December 2006

**Time:** 4 pm

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No  
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:


**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Examiner called Mr. Wight to inquire whether a response to the Office Action of 19 April 2006 had been sent. Mr. Wight indicated that no response had been filed.*

**Part III.**

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)